arXiv:1608.01894v1 [math.ST] 5 Aug 2016

A New Approach to Inverse Local Times

A.R. Baigorri*
Mathematics Department
UnB

July 1st, 2016

Abstract

In 1981 F. Knight published an article with a partial solution to a problem proposed by Ito-McKean [6], [p.217]. In this paper Knight [8] characterized the Levy measures of gap diffusions also known as quasi-diffusions. The proof is very elegant but it uses quite a lot functional analysis, more specifically spectral Krein Theory. We present a new proof of Knight's Theorem, defined at the beginning of the Introduction as well as the new proof of the same theorem refered as Theorem 2.7 in Section 2.

1 Introduction

In 1981 F. Knight published an article with a partial solution to a problem proposed by Ito-McKean [6]. In this paper Knight [8] characterized the Levy measures of gap diffusions also known as quasi-diffusions. The proof is very elegant but it uses functional analysis, more specifically spectral Krein Theory.

The task of re-proving such result with different techniques was sugested by the late Dr. Martin L. Silverstein to whom I am deeply grateful for his advice and help. The present work provides a constructive proof of the following Knigth's theorem which allows a better probablistic interpretation of every step of the process.

*baig@unb.br

Theorem : The Levy measure $n(dy)$ of a persistent gap diffusion on $[0,\infty)$ reflected at 0 is absolutely continuous with density

$$n(y) = \int_0^\infty e^{-y\,x}\mu(dx)$$

with measure $\mu(dx) \geq 0$ on $(0,\infty)$ such that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x(1+x)}\mu(dx) < \infty. \bullet$$

The techniques used are totally different from those previosly used.
In Section 2 we state but rarely prove the material needed for the proof of main result refered as Theorem 2.7 Exact references will be provided in Appendix 3. Section 2 contains the new proof of Knight's Theorem A as defined at the beginning of the chapter.

2 Main Tools

Firstly, let us define (see Watanabe [11]) what is called a gap-diffusion

Definition 2.1. *Let $0 < l \leq \infty$. A non negative Borel measure $m(dx)$ on $[0,l]$ is called an inextensible measure on $[0,l]$ if there exist a non-negative Borel measure which is finite on each compact set $m'(dx)$ on $[0,l]$ such that, by extending $m'(dx)$ on $[0,l]$ so that $m'\{l\} = 0$*

$$m(dx) = \begin{cases} m'(dx) & \text{if } l = \infty \text{ or } m'([0,l]) = \infty \\ m'(dx) + \infty.\delta_l(dx) & \text{if } l + m'([0,l] < \infty. \end{cases}$$

where δ_l is the unit measure at $x = l$.

Let $m(dx)$ an inextensible measure on $[0,l]$ amd $E_m \in [0,\infty]$ be the support of m. Let uss assume, fora for simplicity, $0 \in E_m$.
Let $X - (X_t, P_x)_{x\in\mathbb{R}}$ be a one dimensional standard Brownian motion and $\Phi(t,x), (t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R})$ be its local time at x

$$\boxed{\int_0^l I_A(X_s)\,ds = 2\int_A \Phi(t,x)\,m(dx), \;\; \forall\; A \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}).}$$

Let

$$\Theta(t) = \int_{[0,l]} \Phi(t,x)\, m(dx)$$

and $\Theta^{-1}(t)$ be the inverse function of $\Theta(t)$ (inverse local time) and $\hat{X}(t) = X(\Theta^{-1}(t))$. By the general theory of time change, $\hat{X}(t)$ defines a Markov process on $E_m = E_m \cap [0,l]$ whose life time is identified with the first hitting time for l.

Definition 2.2. *The Markov process $\hat{X}(t) = X(\Theta^{-1}(t))$ above mentioned is called the gap-diffusion process corresponding to the inextensible measure $m(dx)$.*

Let us introduce the following convenient notation:

- $\tau(t,a,w)$ = local time spent at a up to time t by trajectory w starting at a
- $\tau(t,a) = \tau(t,a,w)$
- $\tau(t) = \tau(t,0)$
- $\tau(t,w) = \tau(t,0,w)$

Lemma 2.1. *Let $[W, \mathcal{B}, P_a \; a \in E_1 = \{x_0, x_1\}]$ be a gap-diffusion with two points state and let $\{H_0, H_1\}$ be exponential holding times distributions at point x_0 and x_1 with rates $\{a_0, a_1\}$. Thus*

$$P(H_i > t) = \int_t^\infty h_i(l)\, dl = e^{-a_i t}, \quad i = 0,1; \; a_i > 0.$$

Define $c(t)$= {number of jumps from x_0 to x_1 before time t}. Then

$$\boxed{P_0(\tau^{-1}(t,w) - t \in ds) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_0(\tau^{-1}(t,w) - t \in ds \,|\, c(t) = k) \quad (\mathbf{I})}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} P_0(c(t) = k) &= P_0(H_0^1 + H_0^2 + ... + H_0^{k+1} \geq t) - P_0(H_0^1 + H_0^2 + ... + H_0^k \geq t) \\ &= \frac{e^{-a_0 t}(a_0 t)^k}{k!}, \;\; k \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

and H_0^i are such that $H_0^i = H_0,\ \forall\, 1 \leq i \leq n$.
Therefore

$$P_0(\tau^{-1}(t) - t \in ds) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-a_0 t}(a_0 t)^k}{k!} S^{k*}$$

where S is the probability distribuition of $\tau^{-1} - t$ conditioned to $c(t) = 1$ •

Proof : Will be omitted. ♦

In this particular case S is absolutely continuous with density

$$S_0(x) = a_1 e^{-a_1 x},\ x \geq 0$$

but the argument is general and can be applied to a quasi-diffusion with any finite state space. Thus we can conclude tha the inverse local time (at 0) for a gap-diffusion. with finite state space is a compound Poisson process with the following probability distribution

$$\tau^{-1}(t) - t = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-a_0 t}(a_0 t)^k}{k!} S^{k*}$$

$$\boxed{S(dx) = P_0(\tau^{-1}(t, w) - t \in dx \,|\, c(t) = 1) = S(x)dx \quad (\mathbf{II})}$$

as **(I)** in Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\left[W, \mathcal{B}, P_a, a \in \{0, 1, 2, ..., n\}\right]$ be a gap-diffusion with finite state space. Let $n(ds)$ be its Levy measure, then*

$$S(x)dx = n(dx)$$ •

Proof: By Theorem 3.3 we have

$$E_0\left[e^{-z\tau^{-1}(t)}\right] = e^{-t[m_0 z + \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-zx}) n(dx)]}$$

and by Fubini's Theorem

$$E_0\left[e^{-z\tau^{-1}(t)}\right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-a_0 t}(a_0 t)^k}{k!} \left(T(z)^k\right) = e^{-a_0 t} e^{a_0 t T(z)}$$

where $T(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-zx} S(x)\, dx$ and $S(x)$ as in **(II)** above. Therefore

$$m_0 z + \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-zx}) n(dx) = a_0 - a_0 T(z), \quad z \geq 0$$

Differentiating with respect to z in both sides

$$-a_0 T'(z) = m_0 + \int_0^\infty x e^{-zx} n(dx)$$

$$-a_0 \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^\infty e^{-zx} S(x) dx = m_0 + \int_0^\infty x e^{-zx} n(dx)$$

$$a_0 \int_0^\infty x e^{-zx} S(x) dx = m_0 \int_0^\infty x e^{-zx} n(dx)$$

Therefore

$$S(x)dx = n(dx) + m_0 \delta(x)$$

but since $n(dx)$ is a measure on $(0, \infty)$

$$S(x)dx = n(dx)$$

completing the proof of the lemma. ♦

Our next task is to study in more detail the probability distribution $S(x)$ as in formula **(II)** for gap-diffusions with n-points state space.

Notation : We mean by (i) the expression (ii)

- (i) $\frac{a_1}{b_1+x} \ominus \frac{a_2}{b_2+x}, \quad a_i \neq 0, \ i = 1, 2.$
- (ii) $\frac{a_1}{b_1+x-(\frac{a_2}{b_2+x})}, \quad a_i \neq 0, \ i = 1, 2.$

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $\left[W, \mathcal{B}, P_a, a \in E_2 = \{x_0, x_1, x_2\}\right]$ *be a quasi-diffusion with 3 points state space and let* $\{H_0, H_1, H_2\}$ *be the exponential holding times at the points* $\{x_0, x_1, x_2\}$ *with rates* $\{a_0, a_1, a_2\}$ *respectively. Then*

$$L(S)(x) = \frac{a_1}{2(x + a_1)} \ominus \frac{a_1 a_2}{x + a_2}$$

where $S(x)$ *is as in* **(II)** •

Proof : As in Lemma (2.1) let $c(t)$ be the number of jumps made the particle from x_0 to x_1 up to time t.
Once the particle jumps from point x_0 it can do any of the following possible movements before it returns to point x_0 again. We are only interested in the case $c(t) = 1$.

Possible Trajectories

- **Case (1) :** $< x_1 >$
- **Case (2) :** $< x_1.x_2.x_1 >$
- **Case (3) :** $< x_1.x_2.x_1.x_2.x_1 >$
  .....

Case (1) means that the particle jump to point x_1 and then jump to point x_0.
Case (2) particle jumps to point x_1, then to point x_2 back to point x_1 and then returns to point x_0.
Same for *Case (3)* etc., etc.

Let p, q be such that $p + q = 1,\ p, q \geq 0$ representing the probability of jumping to the right and probability of jumping to the left of any given point of the state space. We are going to assume works for general $p = q = \frac{1}{2}$ in the following computations but the same argument works for general p e q.
Thus for $p = q = \frac{1}{2}$

$$S(x) = \frac{h_1(x)}{2} + \frac{(h_1)^{2*} * h_2}{4} + \frac{(h_1)^{3*} * (h_2)^{2*}}{8} + ...$$

or $p \neq q$

$$S(x) = qh_1(x) + pq\Big((h_1)^{2*} * h_2\Big) + p^2q\Big((h_1)^{3*} * (h_2)^{2*}\Big) + ...$$

$$\begin{aligned} L(S)(x) &= \frac{w_1(x)}{2}\Big(1 + \frac{w_1(x)w_2(x)}{2} + \Big(\frac{w_1(x)w_2(x)}{2}\Big)^2 + ...\Big) \\ &= \frac{w_1(x)}{2}\Big(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{w_1(x)w_2(x)}{2}}\Big) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$h_i = a_i e^{-a_i x}, \qquad i = 0, 1, 2$$

and

$$w_i(x) = L(h_i)(x) = \frac{a_i}{x + a_i}, \qquad i = 1, 2$$

Thus, for $p = q = \frac{1}{2}$

$$L(S)(x) = \frac{a_1}{2(x + a_1)\big(1 - \frac{a_1}{2(x+a_1)}\frac{a_2}{x+a_2}\big)}$$

or $p \neq q$

$$L(S)(x) = \frac{q a_1}{(x + a_1)\big(1 - \frac{p a_1}{(x+a_1)}\frac{a_2}{x+a_2}\big)}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} L(S)(x) &= \frac{a_1}{2(x + a_1) - \frac{a_1 a_2}{(x+a_2)}} \\ &= \frac{a_1}{2(x - a_1)} \ominus \frac{a_1 a_2}{(x - a_2)} \end{aligned}$$

or

$$L(S)(x) = \frac{q\, a_1}{(x - a_1)} \ominus \frac{p\, a_1 a_2}{(x - a_2)}$$

completing the proof of the lemma. ♦

Lemma 2.4. *Let* $\big[W, \mathcal{B}, P_a, a \in E_3 = \{x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3\}\big]$ *be a quasi-diffusion with 3 points state space and let* $\{H_0, H_1, H_2, H_3\}$ *be the exponential holding times at the points* $\{x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ *with rates* $\{a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ *respectively. Then*

$$L(S)(x) = \frac{a_1}{2(x + a_1)} \ominus \frac{a_1 a_2}{2(x + a_2)} \ominus \frac{a_2 a_3}{(x + a_3)}$$

where $S(x)$ *is as in* **(II)** •

Proof : Same argument as in Lemma 2.3 we obtain

$$L(S) = \frac{w_1}{2}\Big(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{w_1}{2} g_2}\Big)$$

where

$$g_2(x) = \frac{a_2}{2(x + a_2) - \frac{a_2\, a_3}{(x+a_3)}}$$

and

$$w_1(x) = \frac{a_1}{(x+a_1)}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} L(S)(x) &= \frac{a_1}{2(x+a_1)\Big(1-\frac{a_1}{2(x+a_1)}\big[\frac{a_2}{2(x+a_2)-\frac{a_2a_3}{(x+a_3)}}\big]\Big)} \\ &= \frac{a_1}{2(x+a_1)-\frac{a_1a_2}{2(x+a_2)-\frac{a_2a_3}{(x+a_3)}}} \\ &= \frac{a_1}{2(x+a_1)} \ominus \frac{a_1a_2}{2(x+a_2)} \ominus \frac{a_2a_3}{2(x+a_3)} \end{aligned}$$

establishing the lemma. ♦

Lemma 2.5. *Let* $\big[W, \mathcal{B}, P_a, a \in E_N = \{x_0, x_1, x_2, ..., x_N\}\big]$ *be a quasi-diffusion with* $(N+1)$ *points state space and let* $\{H_0, H_1, H_2, ..., H_N\}$ *be the exponential holding times at the points* $\{x_0, x_1, x_2, ..., x_N\}$ *with rates* $\{a_0, a_1, a_2, ..., a_N\}$ *respectively. Let us assume that*

$$P(H_i > t) = \int_t^\infty h_i(s)\, ds = e^{-a_i t}, \quad a_i > 0, \ i = 0, 1, ..., N.$$

Then

$$L(S)(x) = \frac{a_1}{2(x+a_1)} \ominus \frac{a_1a_2}{2(x+a_2)} \ominus .... \ominus \frac{a_{N-1}a_N}{(x+a_N)}$$

where

$$S(x) = P_0(\tau^{-1}(t,w) - t \in dx \,|\, C(t) = 1)$$

with $\tau^{-1}(t,w)$ *being the inverse locat time for a given finite state space quasi-diffusion* •

Proof : We will use an inductive argument over N. For

- n $= 1 -> E_1 = \{x_0, x_1, \}$ is proved in Lemma 2.1
- n $= 3 -> E_3 = \{x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ is proved in Lemma 2.3
- n $= 4 -> E_4 = \{x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ is proved in Lemma 2.4

Suppose true for $(N-1)$, we shall prove for N. We know by previous lemma thanks

$$L(S)(x) = \frac{w_1}{2}\frac{1}{1-\frac{w_1}{2}g_{N-1}}$$

where

$$w_1(x) = \frac{a_1}{x + a_1}$$

and

$$g_{N-1}(x) = \frac{a_2}{2(x + a_2) - \left(\frac{a_2 a_3}{2(x+a_3)} \ominus \ ... \ \ominus \ \frac{a_{N-1}a_N}{(x+a_N}\right)}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} L(S)(x) &= \frac{a_1}{2(x + a_1)\Big(1 - \frac{a_1}{2(x-a_1)}\Big[\frac{a_2}{2(x+a_2) \ominus ..... \ominus \frac{a_{N-1}a_N}{(x+a_N}}\Big]\Big)} \\ &= \frac{a_1}{2(x + a_1)} \ominus \frac{a_1 a_2}{2(x + a_2)} \ominus \frac{a_{N-1}a_N}{(x + a_N)} \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof of the theorem. ♦

Let $B^+ = \big[W; \mathcal{B}; P_a; a \in \mathbb{R}^+ = \big]$ be a reflected Brownian motion. Let $D_k = \big[W^k; \mathcal{B}^k; {P_a}^k; b \in E^k = b_0^k, b_1^k, ..., b_{n_k}^k, b_0^k = 0\big]$, $k = 1, 2, ...$ be a quasi-diffusion with finite state space E^k, Levy measure $n_k(dx)$ and speed measure $m_k(dx)$ and let $D = \big[W, \mathcal{B}, P_a, a \in \mathbb{R}^+\big]$ be a quasi-diffusion with Levy measure $m(dx)$.

Theorem 2.6. *If for every D_k, $k = 1, 2, ...$ as above we have that the Levy measure $n_k(dx)$ of a persistent gap-diffusion (quasi-diffusion) with finite state space $E^k = \{b_0^k, b_1^k, ..., b_{n_k}^k\}$ reflected at 0 as in Theorem 3.1 has the representation*

$$\boxed{n_k(dy) = \int_0^\infty e^{-yx} \mu_k(dx)\, dy} \quad (*)$$

with measure $\mu_k(dx) \geq 0$ on $(0, \infty$ such that

$$\boxed{\int_0^\infty \frac{\mu_k(dx)}{x(1 + x)} < \infty.} \quad (**)$$

Then the Levy measure $n(dy)$ of a persistent quasi-diffusin on $[0, \infty)$ reflected at 0 has the representation $(*)$ satisfying condition $(**)$ •

Proof : Let $\dot{\tau}(t, w)$ be the local time spent at 0 by D_k and $\tau(t, w)$ be the time spent at 0 by the reflecting Brownian motion. Let $\{m_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be the

speed measure with finite support converging monotonically a.e. to $m(dx)$. By Theorem 3.1 we know that changing the time of a Brownian motion we can obtain D_k, $k = 1, 2, ...$ By *[Note A, (A.1)] we have*

$$\dot{\tau}(t,w)(t,\psi,w) = f_k(\tau^{-1}(t,\psi,w)) = \int_0^\infty (\tau(\tau^{-1}(t,\psi,w),w,y)\, m_k(dy)$$

where

$$f_k(t) = f_k(t,w) = \int_0^\infty \tau(t,w,y)\, m_k(dy).$$

Then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \dot{\tau}_n(t,\psi,w) = \dot{\tau}(t,\psi,w)$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \dot{\tau}_n(t) = \dot{\tau}(t), \quad \textit{monotonically in distribution}$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} E_0\{e^{-z\dot{\tau}^{-1}(t)\}} = E_0\{e^{-z\dot{\tau}^{-1}(t)\}}, \;\; z \geq 0 \tag{6.1}$$

where above limit is monotone.
By Theorem 3.3 we have that for every $z > 0$

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-zy})\, n_k(dy) = \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-zy})\, n(dy)$$

but, since for every $z > 0$

$$\int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-zy})\, n(dy) = \int_0^z \Big(\int_0^\infty -y\, e^{-sy}\, n(dy)\Big) ds$$

we have for every positive z

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \int_0^z \Big(\int_0^\infty -y\, e^{-sy}\, n_k(dy)\Big) ds = \int_0^z \Big(\int_0^\infty -y\, e^{-sy}\, n(dy)\Big) ds$$

$$\int_0^z \Big(- \lim_{k\to\infty} \int_0^\infty y\, e^{-sy}\, n_k(dy)\Big) ds = \int_0^z -\Big(\int_0^\infty y\, e^{-sy}\, n(dy)\Big) ds$$

Therefore, by Theorem 3.4 we know that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} n_k(dx) = n(dx), \tag{6.2}$$

vaguely with the dense set of convergence being equal to $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$.

Therefore by Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.5

$$n_k(dx) = S_k(x)\, dx$$

where

$$S_k(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-xs}\, d\Phi_k(s), \;\; k = 1, 2, ...$$

By (6.2) and Lemma 2.2 it is easy to see that $n(dx) = S(x)\, dx$.

We claim that

$$S(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-xs}\, d\Phi(s)$$

Due to (6.2), the fact that $\{S_k(x)\}_{k=1}^\infty$ *are completely monotonic and the vague convergence, it is true that the following follows:*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_z^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-xs}\, d\Phi_n(s)\, dx = \int_z^\infty S(x)\, dx, \;\; z > 0$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_0^\infty \Big(\int_z^\infty e^{-xs}\, dx \Big)\, d\Phi_n(s) = \int_z^\infty S(x)\, dx, \;\; z > 0$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{s}\, e^{-zs}\, d\Phi_n(s) = \int_z^\infty S(x)\, dx, \;\; z > 0$$

By Theorem 3.4, we can conclude tha exits $d\Phi$ *so that*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_z^\infty S(x)\, dx &= \int_0^\infty e^{-zx}\, d\Phi(x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-zs}}{x}\, [x\, d\Phi(x)] = \\ &= \int_0^\infty \Big(\int_z^\infty e^{-xy}\, dy \Big)\, [x\, d\Phi(x)] = \\ &= \int_z^\infty \Big(\int_0^\infty e^{-xy}\, [x\, d\Phi(x)] \Big)\, dy, \;\; z > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$S(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-xy}\, d\phi(y), \;\; \text{for almost all } x > 0$$

where

$$d\phi(y) = y\, d\Phi(y)$$

completing in this way the proof of the theorem. ♦

Theorem 2.7. *The Levy measure* $n(dy)$ *of a persistent gap diffusion on* $[0, \infty)$ *reflected at* 0 *is absolutely continuous with density*

$$n(y) = \int_0^\infty e^{-y\, x} \mu(dx)$$

with measure $\mu(dx) \geq 0$ *on* $(0,\infty)$ *such that*

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x(1+x)}\mu(dx) < \infty \bullet$$

Proof: *By Theorem 2.6 it suffices to prove the theorem for finite state space quasi-diffusions. By Lemma 2.5 and Theorem 3.10 plus the adequate interpretation in terms of the probabilistic model we get*

$$L(S)(z) = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\Phi(t)}{(z+t)}, \quad z > 0$$

where $\Phi(t)$ *is a real valued positive step function with discontinuities on* $[0,\infty)$ *and* S *as in Lemma 2.2.*

By Theorem 3.5

$$L(S) = L\{\int_0^\infty e^{-tu}\, d\Phi(u)\}$$

and uniqueness of Laplace transform

$$S(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-xu} d\Phi(u)$$

$$n(dx) = \big(\int_0^\infty e^{-xu} d\Phi(u)\big)\, dx.$$

Appying Theorem 3.3 E.3 we know that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty (1-e^{-s})\, n(ds) < \infty \quad &= \quad \int_0^\infty (1-e^{-s}) \big(\int_0^\infty e^{-su}\, d\Phi(u)\big)\, ds < \infty \\
&= \quad \int_0^\infty \big(\int_0^\infty e^{-su}(1-e^{-s})\, ds\big)\, d\Phi(u) < \infty \\
&= \quad \int_0^\infty (\frac{1}{u} - \frac{1}{u+1}) d\phi(u) < \infty \\
&= \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{u(1+u)} d\phi(u) < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

completing the proof of the theorem.♦

3 Appendix

NOTE A: Brownian Motion.
Let us assume that $Q = [0, \infty)$, $m(dx)$ is a measure on Q that is finite om compact subintervals and positive on neighborhoods os 0 Let B^+ denote the standard Brownian motion ($B^+ = |B(t)|$). Let

$$\tau^+ = \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dx}\int_0^t I_{[0,x)}(B^+(s))\, ds$$

denote the local time of B^+ (continuous in (t,x) P_0 a.s. by Trotter's theorem).

Theorem 3.1. *[[8], p.55], [10]. Let $\tau(t)$ the right continuous inverse of the additive functional*

$$A(t) = \int_{0^-}^{\infty} \tau^+(t, x) m(dx)$$

with $\tau(t) = \infty$ for $t \geq A(\infty)$. Then the process $X_t = B^+(\tau(t))$ with the usual generated σ-fields and translation operators $\Theta_{\tau(t)}$ defines a Hunt process on closure (support) of $m(dx)$ for the probabilities $P_x of B^+$. It is called tha gap diffusion on $[0, \infty)$ (quassi diffusion) with natural scale and speed measure $m(dx)$. ♦

Remark 3.2. *[5]. We know that a solution od $\tau'(X(t), \psi) = t$ is*

$$X(t) = (\tau')^{-1}(t, \psi) \text{ for every } \psi$$

If $X(t) = f(\tau^{-1}(t, \psi))$ it is a solution tools

$$\tau'(f(X(t), \psi) = \tau'(f^{-1}(f(\tau^{-1}(t, \psi))), \psi) = t.$$

Showing that

$$\tau'^{-1}(t, \psi) = f(\tau^{-1}(t, \psi)), \quad (\mathbf{A.1})$$

where

$$f(t) = \int_Q \tau(t, \psi)\, m(d\psi).$$

Theorem 3.3. *[[6],p.30,Note 1].*
If $\{Y(t),\, t \geq 0\}$ is a real valued process with stationary independent and nonnegative increments, $Y(0) = 0$ and right continuous paths defined om some probability space (Ω^*, ϵ, P) then

$$E[e^{-\lambda Y(t)}] = e^{-tg(\lambda)};\ \ \lambda < 0, \quad (\mathbf{A.2})$$

where

$$g(\lambda) = b\lambda + \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-\lambda t})\, n(dt), \quad (\mathbf{A.3})$$

$$b \geq 0, \;\; n(dt) \geq 0, \;\; \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-t})\, n(dt) < \infty, \quad (\mathbf{A.4})$$

$n(dt)$ is the so called Levy measure of the process $\bullet$

NOTE B: Laplace Transform

Theorem 3.4. *[[4],Vol.II,p.433].*
For n=1,2,.... let U_n be a measure with Laplace transform w_n. If $w_n(\lambda) \to w(\lambda)$ for $\lambda > a$, then w is the Laplace Transform of a measure U and $U_n \to U$. Conversely, $U_n \to U$ and the sequence $\{w_n(a)\}$ is bounded, then $w_n(\lambda) \to w(\lambda)$ for every $\lambda > a$. Recall that the sequence $\{U_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of measures is said to converge to U if and only if $U_n(I) \to U(I) < \infty$ for every finite interval of continuity.

Remark 3.5. *[[12],p.334].*
If the integral

$$f(s) = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\alpha(t)}{s+t}$$

converges, then

$$f(s) = \int_{0^+}^\infty e^{-st}\phi(t)\, dt, \;\; s > 0$$

where

$$\phi(t) = \int_0^\infty e^{-tu}\, d\alpha(u), \;\; t > 0 \bullet$$

NOTE C: Continued Fractions

Remark 3.6. *[[7],p.17].*
A continued fraction is an order pair $<< \{a_n\}; \{b_n\}, \{f_n\} >$ *where* $a_1, a_2, ...$ *and* $b_0, b_1, ...$ *are complex numbers ath all* $a_n \neq 0$ *and where* $\{f_n\}$ *is a sequence in the extended complex plane defined as follows:*

$$f_n = S_n(0), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, ...(\mathbf{C.1})$$

where

$$S_0(w) = s_0(w), \ S_n(w) S_{n-1}(s(n(w)); \ \ n = 1, 2, ..,$$

$$s_0(w) = b_0 + w, \ s_n(w) = \frac{a_n}{b_n + w}, \ n = 1, 2, ..$$

f_n *is called the nth-approximant. If* $\{a_n\}$ *and* $\{b_n\}$ *are infinite sequences then it is called non terminating continued fraction, otherwise is called finite or terminating continued fraction. Corresponding to each continued fraction there are sequences complex*$\{A_n\}$ *and* $\{B_n\}$ *defined by the system of second order linear difference equations.*

$$A_{-1}, \ A_0 = b_0, \ B_{-1} = 0, B_0 = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_n &= b_n A_{n-1} + a_n A_{n-2}, \quad n = 1, 2, ... \quad (\mathbf{C.2}) \\ B_n &= b_n B_{n-1} + a_n B_{n-2}, \quad n = 1, 2, ... \end{aligned}$$

It can be shown by an induction argument that eqnarray

$$f_n = \frac{A_n}{B_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, ...$$

$$f_n = b_0 + \frac{a_1}{b_1} \ominus \frac{a_2}{b_2} \ominus ... \ominus \frac{a_n}{b_n} \bullet$$

Theorem 3.7. *[9]; [[12],p.41].*
The following relation holds for any three consecutive orthogona polynonials

$$K_n(x) = (A_n x + B_n) K_{n-1})x) - C_n(x), \quad (\mathbf{C.3})$$

Here A_n, B_n *and*C_n *are constants,* $A_n > 0$ *and* $C_n > 0$. *If the highest coefficient of* $K_n(x)$ *is denoted by* a_n *we have*

$$A_n = \frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}}, C_n = \frac{A_n}{A_{n-1}} = \frac{a_n a_{n-2}}{(a_{n-1})^2}$$

Now let $\{K_n(x)\}$ be the orthonormal set of polynomias associated with the distribution $d\psi(t)$ on $[0,\infty)$. The recurrence formula (C.3) then suggests the consideration of the continued fraction

$$\frac{1}{A_1x+B_1} \ominus \frac{C_2}{A_2x+B_2} \ominus \frac{C_3}{A_3x+B_3} \ominus ....(\mathbf{C.4})$$

with $\frac{K_n(x)}{L_n(x)}$ as the nth approximant $\quad n=1,2,3....$ •

Theorem 3.8. *[[7],p.254].*
If$\{L_n\}_{n=1}^n$ is a sequence of polynomials satusfying a system of three term recurrence relation of the form

$$L_0(x)=1,\ \ L_1(x)-l_1+x,\ \ L_n(x)(l_n+x)L_n-k_nL_{n-2}(x)\ \ (\mathbf{C.5})$$

with $k_n>0$, l_n real for $n=1,2,....$ Thenthere exist a $\psi(t)$ real valued, bounded monotone, non decreasing with infinitely many points of increase such that $\{L_n\}_{n=1}^n$ is a system of orthogonal polynomials with respect to $\psi(t)$..•

Given a J-fraction as in Theorem 3.7 (C.4) with the nth-approximant

$$f_n=\frac{K_n(x)}{L_n(x)}$$

we know that $\{L_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ satisfies relation Theorem 3.8 (C.5).

Theorem 3.9. *[9]; [[12],p.54].*
The approximants $\frac{K_n(x)}{L_n(x)}$ of the J-continued fraction are determined by the formula

$$K_n(x)=c\int_a^b \frac{L_n(x)-L_n(t)}{x-t}\,d\psi(t),\quad n=0,1,...(C.6)\bullet$$

Proof: *Notice that (C.6) holds also for $n=0$ and $n=1$. For $n\geq 2$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
K_n(x) &= \int_a^b \frac{L_n(x)-L_n(t)-(l_n+x)\{L_{n-1}(x)-L_{n-1}(t)\}}{(x-t)} - \\
&\qquad - \frac{k_n\{L_{n-2}(x)-L_{n-2}(t)\})}{(x-t)}\,d\psi(t) \\
&= \int_a^b \frac{-L_n(t)+(l_n+x)L_{n-1}(t)-k_nL_{n-2}(t)}{(x-t)}\,d\psi(t) \\
&= \int_a^b \frac{-L_n(t)+((l_n+x)+(l_n+t)-(l_n+t))L_{n-1}(t)-k_nL_{n-2}(t)}{(x-t)}\,d\psi(t) \\
&= \int_a^b L_{n-1}(t)\frac{(x-t)}{(x-t)}\,d\psi(t).
\end{aligned}$$

establishing the theorem. ♦

Remark 3.10. *[[9],p.56]; [[5],p.212-238]; [[7],p.336-337].*
For a real J-fraction

$$\frac{k_1}{l_1+z} \ominus \frac{k_2}{l_2+z} \ominus \frac{k_3}{l_3+z} \ominus ..... \quad (\mathbf{C.7})$$

with $k_n > 0$ *and* l_n *real for* $n = 1, 2, 3, ...$, *let* $K_n(z)$ *and* $L_n(z)$ *be the nth-approximant numerator and denominator respectively. Then the zeros* $\{x_k\}_{n=1}^n$ *of* $L_n(z)$ *are real and distinct and* $\frac{K_n(z)}{L_n(z)}$ *has a partial fraction decomposition*

$$\frac{K_n(z)}{L_n(z)} = c\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_{n_k}}{(z - x_{x_k}}, \text{ so that } \lambda_{n_k} > 0;\ k = 0, 1, 2... \quad (\mathbf{C.8})$$

Thus

$$\frac{K_n(z)}{L_n(z)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\Phi_n(t)}{(z-t)}$$

where $\Phi(t)$ *is a step function* •

Proof: *By Lagrange interpolation arguments and since all the zeros of a an orthogonal polynomial are distinct and real we have*

$$K_n(x) = C\sum_{k=1} K_n(x_k)\frac{L_n(x)}{L_n'(x)(x - x_k)}$$

By Theorem (3.9)

$$\frac{K_n(x_k}{L_n'(x_k)} = \frac{1}{L_n'(x_k)}\int \frac{L_n(t)}{(t - x_k)}\, d\phi(t) =$$

$$\int \frac{L_n(t)}{L_n'(x_k)(t - x_k)} = \lambda_k, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, ..., n$$

$$K_n(x) = C\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_k \frac{L_n(x)}{(x - x_k}$$

$$\frac{K_n(x)}{L_n(x)} = C\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_k}{(x - x_k)} \quad (\mathbf{C.9})$$

where the $\{\lambda_k\}$ *are called Christofell numbers;* $\lambda_k > 0, \quad k = 1, 2, ...$
Now ordering x_k *according to size and defining the step function* $\Phi_n(t)$ *by*

$$\Phi_n(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & if \ -\infty < t \leq x_1 \\ \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k & if \ x_m < t \leq x_{m+1}, \quad m = 1, 2, ...n, \\ k_1 & if \ x_n < t \leq \infty. \end{cases} \quad (C.10)$$

we obtain

$$\frac{K_n(z)}{L_n(z)} = \int \frac{d\phi(t)}{(z-t)}, \quad for \ every \ n. \blacklozenge$$

Remark 3.11. *[12]. A necessary and sufficient condition for a rational fraction to have the form*

$$\frac{f_1}{f_0} = \sum_{p=1}^{n} \frac{L_p}{(z - x_p)}$$

where the x_p are real and distinct and the L_p positive, is tha f_1/f_0 have a continued J-fraction espansion as in Remark 3.6 (C.1) in which a_i are positive and b_i are real.

References

[1] *Blumenthal, R.M. & Getoor, R.K "Markov Processes and Potential Theory", Academic Press, New York and London, 1998.*

[2] *Blumenthal, R.M. & Getoor, R.K "Local Times for Markov Processes", Z. wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie, 3, 50-74,, 1964.*

[3] *Dynkin, E.B.,"Markov Processes", Moscow, 1963. English traslation, Springer, Berli,1965.*

[4] *Feller, W., "An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications", Vol. I, 2nd. Ed., and II, Wiley, New York, 1962,1965.*

[5] *Grommer, J.,"Gauze transcendente Funktrioen mit lauter reelen Nullstellen", J. Reien Angew. Math, 144, 212-238, 1944, 1944.*

[6] *Ito, K. & McKean, H.P., "Diffusion Processes and Their Sample Paths", Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heilderberg-New York, 1965.*

[7] *Jones, W.B. & Thron, W.J., "Continued Fractions Analytic Theory and Applications", Addison-Wesley Pub. Co., 1980.*

[8] *Knight, F. B., "Characterization of the Levy Measure of Invers Local Times of Gap Diffusions", Seminar on Stochastic Processes, E. Cinlar, K. L; Chung, R. K. Getoor, editors. Progres in Probability and Statistics, vol. Birkhauser, Boston, 1981.*

[9] *Szego, G.,"Orthogonal Polynomials", Colloqium Publications, vol. 23 Amer. Math. Soc. New York, 1959*

[10] *Wall, H.S., "Analytic Theory of Continued Fractions", Van Nostrand, New York, 1948.*

[11] *Watanabe, S., "On Time Inversion of One-Dimensional Diffusion Processes", Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorieverw. Gebiete, 31, 115-124, 1975.*

[12] *Wideer, D. W., "The Laplace Transform", Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey.*